

FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE DIMENSIONAL NORMAL TRACE SPACE

TAKASHI KAWAHARA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the fundamental group $F(\mathcal{M})$ of a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with finite dimensional normal trace space. The form of $F(\mathcal{M})$ is completely determined. Moreover, there exists a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with finite dimensional normal trace space such that $F(\mathcal{M}) = G$ for any conceivable groups G as fundamental group.

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall some facts of fundamental groups of operator algebras. The fundamental group $F(M)$ of a II_1 -factor M with a normalized trace τ is defined by Murray and von Neumann in [7]. In the paper, the fact that if M is hyperfinite, then $F(M) = \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ is shown, where $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ is the set of positive invertible real numbers. It was proved that $F(L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))$ of the group factor of the free group $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ contains the positive rationals by Voiculescu in [16] and it was shown that $F(L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)) = \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ by Radulescu in [15]. The fact that $F(L(G))$ is a countable group if G is an ICC group with property (T) is shown by Connes [2]. That either countable subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ or any uncountable group belonging to a certain "large" class can be realized as the fundamental group of some factor of type II_1 is shown by Popa and Vaes in [12] and in [13].

Nawata and Watatani [10], [11] introduced the fundamental group of simple C^* -algebras with unique trace. Their study is essentially based on the computation of Picard groups by Kodaka [4], [5], [6]. Nawata defined the fundamental group of non-unital C^* -algebras [8] and calculated the Picard group of some projectionless C^* -algebras with strict comparison by fundamental group [9]. In this paper, we define the fundamental group of C^* -algebras with finite dimensional trace space. This fundamental group is a "numerical invariant". Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with finite dimensional trace space. We define the fundamental group $F(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ by using self-similarity and the extremal points of the trace state space of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $F(\mathcal{M})$ is a multiplicative subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. We shall determine the form of $F(\mathcal{M})$ completely. As in the same with the case of the fundamental group of C^* -algebras with finite dimensional trace space, if the finite von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ with finite dimensional normal trace space are Morita equivalent, then $F(\mathcal{M}) = (DU(\sigma))^{-1}F(\mathcal{N})(DU(\sigma))$ for some diagonal matrix D and for some permutation unitary $U(\sigma)$. Popa showed that there exists a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}_i$ such that $F(\mathcal{M}_i) = G_i$ and that $\mathcal{M}_i$ is not stably isomorphic each other in [12]. By using the fact, we will show that there exists a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with finite dimensional normal trace space such that $F(\mathcal{M}) = G$ for any conceivable groups G as fundamental group. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with 2-dimensional

trace space. Put $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$, where φ_1 and φ_2 are extreme points of $T(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional normal trace space and $F(\mathcal{A}) \subset F(\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w)$.

2. FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA AND TRACE SPACE

We recall some facts of von Neumann algebra and its trace space. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra. We denote by $T(\mathcal{M})$ the set of tracial states of $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of $T(\mathcal{M})$. Similarly, we denote by $NT(\mathcal{M})$ the set of normal tracial states of $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})$ the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of $NT(\mathcal{M})$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ the center of $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite direct sum of finite factors.
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$
- (3) $\dim(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})) < \infty$
- (4) $\dim(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})) < \infty$

Proof. It is clear that (1) and (2) are equivalent, (1) implies (3). Since $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ is a subspace of $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})$, (3) implies (4). We shall show that (4) implies (2). We assume that (4). Let (X, μ) be a locally finite measure space such that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \cong L^\infty(X, \mu)$. On the contrary, we assume that $\dim \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$. Since $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is isomorphic to the dual space of $L^1(X, \mu)$, $L^1(X, \mu)$ is not finite dimensional. Let θ be a unique center-valued trace of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\{i(f) \circ \theta : f \in L^1(X, \mu)\}$ is a subspace of $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})$, where i is a canonical injection from $L^1(X, \mu)$ into the dual space of $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Since $L^1(X, \mu)$ is not finite dimensional, $\{i(f) \circ \theta : f \in L^1(X, \mu)\}$ is not finite dimensional. Hence $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})$ is not finite dimensional. $\square$

Especially, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite direct sum of finite factors, then $T(\mathcal{M}) = NT(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}) = \text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M})$, because every tracial state of finite factors is normal.

3. EQUIVALENCE BIMODULE OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

We recall some definitions of equivalence bimodule of von Neumann algebras (See [14]). Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras. A linear space $\mathcal{X}$ is called a *right (left) Hermitian $\mathcal{N}$ -rigged $\mathcal{M}$ -module* if $\mathcal{X}$ is a right(left) Hilbert C^* -module over $\mathcal{N}$ with a left (right) action of $\mathcal{M}$ by means of a $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}$ with respect to a norm on $\mathcal{X}$. We say a Hermitian right (left) $\mathcal{N}$ -rigged $\mathcal{M}$ -module is normal if the map $m \mapsto \langle x, my \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$ ($m \mapsto {}_{\mathcal{N}}\langle xm, y \rangle$) is weakly continuous for any x, y in $\mathcal{X}$. We say $\mathcal{X}$ is a $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ equivalence bimodule if $\mathcal{X}$ is a normal right $\mathcal{N}$ -rigged $\mathcal{M}$ -module with a right $\mathcal{N}$ -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$ and left $\mathcal{M}$ -rigged $\mathcal{N}$ -module with a left $\mathcal{M}$ -valued inner product ${}_{\mathcal{M}}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and satisfies the following conditions; ${}_{\mathcal{M}}\langle x, y \rangle z = x \langle y, z \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$, a linear span of $\{{}_{\mathcal{M}}\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{X}\}$ and $\{\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} : x, y \in \mathcal{X}\}$ are weakly dense in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively. We say a $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{X}$ is *finitely generated* if $\mathcal{X}$ has a finite left and a finite right basis. Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ equivalence bimodules. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$ as a equivalence bimodule if there exists a linear bijective map Φ from $\mathcal{X}$ onto $\mathcal{Y}$ with the properties such that $\Phi(a\xi b) = a\Phi(\xi)b$,

$\mathcal{M}\langle\Phi(\xi), \Phi(\eta)\rangle = \mathcal{M}\langle\xi, \eta\rangle$ and $\langle\Phi(\xi), \Phi(\eta)\rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle\xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$ for any a in $\mathcal{M}$, for any b in $\mathcal{N}$ and for any ξ, η in $\mathcal{X}$.

4. DEFINITION IN THE CASE OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be von Neumann algebra. A *self-similar triple* for $\mathcal{M}$, abbreviated s.s.t., is a tuple (k, p, Φ) , where k is a natural number, where p is a projection in $M_k(\mathcal{M})$, and where Φ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $pM_k(\mathcal{M})p$.

We define $T_{(k,p,\Phi)} : \text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ by $T_{(k,p,\Phi)}(\varphi) = \text{Tr}_k \otimes \varphi \circ \Phi$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}))$ the set of bounded linear maps from $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ into $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra. We define a subset $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}))$ as follows;

$$F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}) := \{T_{(k,p,\Phi)} \in \mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})) : (k, p, \Phi) : \text{s.s.t}\}$$

We suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional trace space. Then, by using $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodules, we will show $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M})$ is a group.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional trace space and let $\mathcal{X}$ be an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule as W^* -algebra. Then $\mathcal{X}$ has a finite basis.

Proof. Let I be a norm-closed linear span of the set $\{\mathcal{M}\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{X}\}$. Then I is an norm-closed ideal of $\mathcal{M}$. By 2.1, $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct sum of finite factors. Since the weak*-closed linear span of the set $\{\mathcal{M}\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is $\mathcal{M}$, $I = \mathcal{M}$. Then there exist some elements x_i, y_i in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle x_i, y_i \rangle - 1_{\mathcal{M}}\| < 1$. Therefore there exists an element m in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $m(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle x_i, y_i \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle mx_i, y_i \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{M}}$. For simplicity of notation, we write x_i instead of mx_i . Then we can assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle x_i, y_i \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ for some x_i, y_i . Put $n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle x_i + y_i, x_i + y_i \rangle$, then $n \geq 1_{\mathcal{M}}$. Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_i + y_i), n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_i + y_i) \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{M}}$. Put $z_i = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_i + y_i)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}\langle z_i, z_i \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \langle z_i, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ for any x in $\mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite basis of $\mathcal{X}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $\mathcal{X}$ be an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule. Then there exists a self-similar full projection of $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $p\mathcal{M}^n$ as an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule. Conversely, $p\mathcal{M}^n$ is an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule if p is a self-similar full projection in $M_n(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule. By 4.3, there exists a finite basis $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\mathcal{X}$. Put $p = (\langle z_i, z_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$. Then p is a full projection and $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $p\mathcal{M}^n$ as $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule with an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ to $pM_n(\mathcal{M})p$. Conversely, we suppose that p is a full projection in $M_k(\mathcal{M})$ with an isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow pM_n(\mathcal{M})p$. Then $p\mathcal{M}^n$ is an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule with the operations $m \cdot x = \alpha(m)x$, $x \cdot m = xm$. $\square$

We denote by $[\mathcal{X}]$ the set of isomorphic classes of $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 4.5. The set $\{[\mathcal{X}] \mid \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{M}\text{-}\mathcal{M} \text{ equivalence bimodule}\}$ forms a group by internal tensor product. Identity is $[\mathcal{M}]$.

We denote by $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$ the group. We define a map $R_{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}))$ by $R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{X}]) (\varphi)(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})$ where $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a right basis of $\mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 4.6. The map $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is well-defined, multiplicative, and $R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{M}]) = \text{Id}_{\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})}$ where $\text{Id}_{\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})}$ is an identity map from $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ onto $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Let φ be a bounded trace on $\mathcal{M}$, a be an element of $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{E}$ be a finitely generated $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule and let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^l$ be finite right bases of $\mathcal{E}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \xi_i, \sum_{j=1}^l \eta_j \langle \eta_j, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k,l} \varphi(\langle \xi_i, \eta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \langle \eta_j, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{k,l} \varphi(\langle \eta_j, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \langle \xi_i, \eta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_{j=1}^l \varphi(\langle \eta_j, a\eta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])$ is independent on the choice of basis.

Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ be $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ imprimitivity bimodules with bases $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^l$. We suppose that there exists an isomorphism Φ of $\mathcal{E}_1$ onto $\mathcal{E}_2$. Then $\{\Phi(\xi_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ is also a basis of $\mathcal{E}_2$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \Phi(\xi_i), a\Phi(\xi_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_{j=1}^l \varphi(\langle \zeta_j, a\zeta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})$$

Therefore $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is well-defined.

We shall show that $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is multiplicative. Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ be finitely generated $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodules with bases $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^l$. Then $\{\xi_i \otimes \eta_j\}_{i,j=1}^{k,l}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ and

$$(R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2]) (\varphi)) (a) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k,l} \varphi(\langle \xi_i \otimes \eta_j, a\xi_i \otimes \eta_j \rangle) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k,l} \varphi(\langle \eta_j, \langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}_1]) R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}_2]) (\varphi)) (a) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}_2]) (\varphi)) (\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{k,l} \varphi(\langle \eta_j, \langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \eta_j \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is multiplicative. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}) = R_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finitely generated $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule and let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}$. Put $p = (\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle)_{ij}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $p\mathcal{M}^k$ as an $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule and there exists an $*$ -isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow pM_k(\mathcal{M})p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}]) (\varphi)) (a) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle pe_i, \alpha(a)pe_i \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(e_i^* \alpha(a) e_i) = (\text{Tr}_k \otimes \varphi) \circ (\alpha)(a) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}) \supset R_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}))$. Conversely, we suppose that p be a projection with a $*$ -isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow pM_k(\mathcal{M})p$ and that the linear span of $\{a^*pb \mid a, b \in \mathcal{M}^k\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, $p\mathcal{M}^k$ is an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule with a basis $\{pe_i\}_{i=1}^k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Tr}_k \otimes \varphi) \circ (\alpha)(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle e_i^*, \alpha(a)e_i \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle pe_i, \alpha(a)pe_i \rangle) \\ &= (R_{\mathcal{M}}([p\mathcal{M}^k])(\varphi))(a) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}) \subset R_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}))$. Hence $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}) = R_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}))$. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{GL}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. By 4.6, $R_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}))$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{GL}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M}))$. Then, by 4.7, $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M})$ is also. $\square$

We shall consider the case that $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional normal trace space. By 2.1, we can write $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$ for some finite factor $\mathcal{M}_i$. Let τ_i be a normalized unique trace of $\mathcal{M}_i$. We define a normalized trace φ_i of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\varphi_i((x_k)_k) = \tau_i(x_i)$. Then $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of extremal points of $T(\mathcal{M})$ and is a basis of $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$. When we use $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to denote the basis of $T(\mathcal{M})$, consider that φ_i corresponds to M_i .

Definition 4.9. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional normal trace space. Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$, where $\mathcal{M}_i$ is a finite factor. We define the fundamental group $F(\mathcal{M})$ by the matrix representation of $F^{tr}(\mathcal{M})$ with the basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$. In other words; We define the representation $S_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $S_{\mathcal{M}}(T)(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j$, where $T\varphi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\varphi_j$, where e_i is a canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then $F(\mathcal{M}) = S_{\mathcal{M}}(F^{tr}(\mathcal{M}))$.

This fundamental group is a "numerical invariant". In the case of II_1 -factor, the group is completely numerical invariant. In other words, if $F(\mathcal{M})$ and $F(\mathcal{N})$ are different as a set, then $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are not isomorphic (not Morita equivalent). This is similar with the case of the C^* -algebras with finite dimensional trace space. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. The definition of the fundamental group $F(\mathcal{M})$ depends on the permutation of $\partial_e T(\mathcal{M})$ if $\sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{M})) > 1$. So, we introduce the concept of being isomorphic and that of being weightedly isomorphic on the fundamental groups $F(\mathcal{M})$ considering the fact. We define the canonical unitary representation U from a symmetric group S_n into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $U(\sigma)_{ij} = 1$ if $j = \sigma(i)$ and $U(\sigma)_{ij} = 0$ if $j \neq \sigma(i)$.

Definition 4.10. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. satisfying $\sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{M})) = \sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{N})) = n$. We call that $F(\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to $F(\mathcal{N})$ if there exists a permutation σ in S_n such that $F(\mathcal{N}) = (U(\sigma))^{-1}F(\mathcal{M})(U(\sigma))$. We call that $F(\mathcal{M})$ is weightedly isomorphic to $F(\mathcal{N})$ if there exists an invertible positive diagonal matrix D in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and a permutation σ in S_n such that $F(\mathcal{N}) = (DU(\sigma))^{-1}F(\mathcal{M})(DU(\sigma))$.

Proposition 4.11. If two finite von Neumann algebras, which have finite dimensional normal trace space, are isomorphic, then their fundamental groups are same up to permutation of basis.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. We suppose $\sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{M})) = n$. Say $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n = \partial_e T(\mathcal{M})$. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are isomorphic, there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Then $\{\varphi_i \circ \alpha\}_{i=1}^n = \partial_e T(\mathcal{N})$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. We shall show that if $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are Morita equivalent, then their fundamental groups $F(\mathcal{M})$ and $F(\mathcal{N})$ are weightedly isomorphic. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are Morita equivalent, then there exists an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{F}$. We define the linear map $R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} : {}_{\mathcal{M}}E_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{N}), \text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}NT(\mathcal{M}))$ by $(R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}])(\varphi))(a) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(\langle \xi_i, a\xi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})$, where $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a basis of $\mathcal{F}$ as a right Hilbert $\mathcal{N}$ -module.

Lemma 4.12. Let $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. We suppose $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are Morita equivalent. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an $\mathcal{L}$ - $\mathcal{M}$ equivalence bimodule and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ equivalence bimodule. Then $R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}]) = R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}])$. In particular, $R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{F}])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{F}^*]) = id_{\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{L})}$, $R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{F}^*])R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{F}]) = id_{\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})}$ and $\sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{L})) = \sharp(\partial_e T(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. The independence of the choice of the basis of $\mathcal{F}$ and the well-definedness of $R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{F}])$ will be showed similarly with the proof of the definition of $R_{\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{E}])$ in 4.6. Moreover, $R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}]) = R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}])$ will be showed similarly with the proof of the multiplicativity of $R_{\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{E}])$ in 4.6. $\square$

Especially, $R_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{E}]) = R_{\mathcal{L}}([\mathcal{E}])$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$ - $\mathcal{L}$ equivalence bimodule.

Proposition 4.13. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be finite von Neumann algebras with finite dimensional normal trace space. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are Morita equivalent, then $F(\mathcal{M})$ is weightedly isomorphic to $F(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\mathcal{M}$ - $\mathcal{N}$ imprimitivity bimodule. Then $\mathcal{F}$ induces an isomorphism Ψ of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\Psi([\mathcal{E}]) = [\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}]$ for $[\mathcal{E}] \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$. By 4.12, for $[\mathcal{E}] \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}]) &= R_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}]) \\ &= R_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{F}^*])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}]) \\ &= R_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{F}^*])R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}([\mathcal{F}]) \end{aligned}$$

Put $\sharp(\partial_e NT(\mathcal{M})) = \sharp(\partial_e NT(\mathcal{N})) = n$. We shall consider the representation of the previous formula into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the basis $\partial_e T(\mathcal{M})$ of $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{M})$ and with the basis $\partial_e T(\mathcal{N})$ of $\text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}}T(\mathcal{N})$. Then the representation matrices of $R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}])$ and $R_{\mathcal{N}}([\Psi(\mathcal{E})])$ are $S_{\mathcal{M}}(R_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{E}]))$ and $S_{\mathcal{N}}(R_{\mathcal{N}}([\Psi(\mathcal{E})]))$ respectively. By the definition of $R_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{F})$ and $R_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{F}^*)$, they preserve positiveness of traces, so the entries of the matrices which are represented by them are positive. Moreover each of them is the inverse element of the other by 4.12. Therefore the representation matrix of $R_{\mathcal{AB}}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 4.5 of [3]. Hence there exists a positive invertible diagonal matrix D and exists σ in S_n such that $S_{\mathcal{N}}(R_{\mathcal{N}}([\Psi(\mathcal{E})])) = (DU(\sigma))^{-1}S_{\mathcal{M}}(R_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{F}))(DU(\sigma))$. $\square$

5. THE FORM OF FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with finite dimensional normal trace space. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct sum of II_1 -factors and matrices by 2.1 Therefore we consider the case that $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct sum of II_1 -factors.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite direct sum of II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}_i$. We consider the form of the fundamental group $F(\mathcal{M})$. As in the same with [3], we can show that for any X in $F(\mathcal{M})$ there exists a diagonal D and a permutation unitary U such that $X = DU$. However, in this case, we can show the fact more easily and we can show more detailed form of the elements of fundamental groups.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite direct sum of II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}_i$ and let φ_i be a normalized trace on $\mathcal{M}_i$. If $X \in F(\mathcal{M})$, then there exists a diagonal D and a permutation unitary U such that $X = DU$.

Put $G_i = \{ \text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_i(p_i) \mid p_i : \text{self-similar projection of } M_n(\mathcal{M}_i) \}$ and put $S_{ij} = \{ \text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_j(p_j) \mid p_j : \text{projection of } M_n(\mathcal{M}_j) \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{M}_i \cong p_j M_n(\mathcal{M}_j) p_j \}$. Then $G_i = \{ X_{ii} \mid X \in F(\mathcal{M}) \ X_{ii} \neq 0 \}$, $S_{ij} = \{ X_{ij} \mid X \in F(\mathcal{M}) \ X_{ij} \neq 0 \}$.

Proof. Let p be a self-similar full projection of $M_n(\mathcal{M})$. Put $p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p_i$ where p_i is a projection of $M_n(\mathcal{M}_i)$. Then there exists a permutation σ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma(i)} \cong p_i M_n(\mathcal{M}_i) p_i$. We denote by Φ_i the isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}_i$ onto $p_{\sigma(i)} M_n(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma(i)}) p_{\sigma(i)}$. Then $(\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(i)}) \circ \Phi_i = \lambda_i \varphi_i$ for some λ_i . Therefore $X_{i\sigma(i)} = \lambda_i$ and $X = DU_\sigma$, where D is a diagonal matrix which satisfies $D_{ii} = \lambda_i$. Since $\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(i)}(p_{\sigma(i)}) = R_M([p\mathcal{M}^n])(\varphi_i)(1) = \lambda_i$ by 4.7, $\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(i)}(p_{\sigma(i)}) = X_{i\sigma(i)}$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_i$, φ_i , G_i , S_{ij} as above. If S_{ij} and S_{jk} are nonempty for some i, j, k , then $S_{ij}^{-1} = S_{ji}$ and $S_{ij}S_{jk} = S_{ik}$.

Proof. By definition of S_{ij} . $\square$

Lemma 5.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_i$, φ_i , G_i , S_{ij} as above. Then G_i is a group. Moreover, if S_{ij} is nonempty for some i, j , then $G_i S_{ij} = S_{ij}$, $G_i = G_j$, and there exists a positive real number r_j such that $S_{ij} = r_j G_i$.

Proof. Since $F(\mathcal{M}_i) = G_i$, then G_i is a group. We suppose S_{ij} is nonempty for some i, j . Since $F(\mathcal{M})$ is a group, $G_i S_{ij} \subset S_{ij}$. Moreover $G_i S_{ij} \supset S_{ij}$ because $I_n \in F(\mathcal{M})$ and $1 \in G_i$. Since the group G_i operates the set S_{ij} , there exist positive real numbers r_α such that $S_{ij} = \sqcup_\alpha r_\alpha G_i$ by orbit decomposition. By $G_i S_{ij} = S_{ij}$, $S_{ij}^{-1} S_{ij} = G_i$. Then there exists a real positive number r such that $S_{ij} = r G_i$. Moreover, $G_i = S_{ij}^{-1} S_{ij} = S_{ji} S_{ji}^{-1} = G_j$ by 5.2. $\square$

By 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, the next proposition follows.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be II_1 -factors. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$. If S_{12} is empty, then for any X in $F(\mathcal{M})$ there exist $g_i \in F(\mathcal{M}_i)$ such that $X = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}$. If S_{12} is nonempty, then there exists a real positive number r such that for any X in $F(\mathcal{M})$ there exist $g_i \in F(\mathcal{M}_1)$ such that $X = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}$ or $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r g_1 \\ r^{-1} g_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

In the case $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$, similar proposition shall be shown.

Remark 5.5. This proposition 5.4 can be applied to the fundamental groups of C^* -algebras. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebras with finite dimensional trace space.

Put $G_i = \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \varphi_i = (\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_i) \circ \Phi : \text{self-similar map of } \mathcal{A} \}$
and put $S_{ij} = \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \varphi_i = (\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_j) \circ \Phi : \text{self-similar map of } \mathcal{A} \}$. Then 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 can be followed similarly. Hence following proposition will be showed.

Proposition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with two dimensional trace space. If S_{12} is empty, then for any X in $F(\mathcal{A})$ there exist $g_i \in G_i$ such that $X = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}$. If S_{12} is nonempty, then there exists a real positive number r such that for any X in $F(\mathcal{A})$ there exist $g_i \in G_1$ such that $X = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}$ or $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & rg_1 \\ r^{-1}g_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Remark 5.7. The proofs of the propositions 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 are based on the internal tensor product and dual module of equivalence bimodules. Especially, the proposition 5.3 are based on the next proposition.

Proposition 5.8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^* -algebras. For any $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ and for any $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{F}$, there exists an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{G}$. $\square$

Moreover, in the case of the direct sum of II_1 -factors, the form of the fundamental group are completely determined. First, we consider the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be II_1 -factors. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$. Then one of the two patterns occurs;

- (1) $F(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1) \ g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2) \}$.
- (2) There exists a positive real number r such that

$$F(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & rg_1 \\ r^{-1}g_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1) \ g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2) \}.$$
 In this case, $F(\mathcal{M}_1) = F(\mathcal{M}_2)$.

Proof. By 5.4, $F(\mathcal{M}) \subset \{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1) \ g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2) \}$ or

$F(\mathcal{M}) \subset \{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & rg_1 \\ r^{-1}g_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1) \ g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2) \}$ for some r .

To prove converse inclusion, it is sufficient to show that for any $g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1)$ $\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in F(\mathcal{M})$. Let g_1 be an element of $F(\mathcal{M}_1)$. Then there exists a self-similar projection p of $M_n(\mathcal{M}_1)$ such that $\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_1(p) = g_1$. Therefor $p \oplus e_{11}$ is a self-similar projection of $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ where e_{11} is a projection of $M_n(\mathcal{M}_2)$. Hence $\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in F(\mathcal{M})$. $\square$

Similarly, we can consider the case $n = 3$.

Proposition 5.10. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_3$ be II_1 -factors. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \mathcal{M}_3$. Then, by permutating $\mathcal{M}_i$, one of the three patterns occurs;

- (1) $F(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1), \ g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2), \ g_3 \in F(\mathcal{M}_3) \}.$

- (2) There exists a positive real number r such that $F(\mathcal{M})$ is consisted of the all matrices which have following two forms;

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1), \quad g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2), \quad g_3 \in F(\mathcal{M}_3) \\ & \bullet \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & rg_2 \\ 0 & r^{-1}g_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1), \quad g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2), \quad g_3 \in F(\mathcal{M}_3) \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $F(\mathcal{M}_2) = F(\mathcal{M}_3)$.

- (3) There exists positive real numbers r_1, r_2 such that $F(\mathcal{M})$ is consisted of the all matrices which have following six forms;

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_1g_1 & 0 \\ r_1^{-1}g_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2g_2 \\ 0 & r_2^{-1}g_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & r_1r_2g_1 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ r_1^{-1}r_2^{-1}g_3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & r_1r_2g_1 \\ r_1^{-1}g_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2^{-1}g_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_1g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2g_2 \\ r_1^{-1}r_2^{-1}g_3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$g_1 \in F(\mathcal{M}_1), \quad g_2 \in F(\mathcal{M}_2), \quad g_3 \in F(\mathcal{M}_3)$$

In this case, $F(\mathcal{M}_1) = F(\mathcal{M}_2) = F(\mathcal{M}_3)$.

Proof. We consider S_{12} and S_{13} . If both of S_{12} and S_{13} are empty, then $F(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of the group of the pattern 1. If one of the sets S_{12} and S_{13} is empty and the other is nonempty, by permutating $\mathcal{M}_i$, $F(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of the group of the pattern 2. If both of S_{12} and S_{13} are nonempty, $F(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of the

group of the pattern 3. As in the same with 5.9, $\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$

and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $F(\mathcal{M})$ for any $g_i \in F(\mathcal{M}_i)$. Then $F(\mathcal{M})$ agrees with one of the patterns. $\square$

We consider the case $n \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a direct sum of n II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}_i$. Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by $\mathcal{M}_i \cong p_j M_n(M_j) p_j$ for some projection p_j of $p_j M_n(M_j) p_j$. Let $\{i_k\}_{k=1}^l$ be a complete system of representatives of this relation. We consider the tuple $(\#[[i_k]_{\mathcal{M}}])_{k=1}^l$, where $[j]_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an equivalence class of j by $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$. We call this tuple *size tuple* of $\mathcal{M}$. This tuple is invariant for the complete system of representatives up to permutation.

Proposition 5.11. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be direct sums of n II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_j$ respectively. Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$ and $\mathcal{N} = \oplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{N}_j$. We denote by $(\#[[i_k]_{\mathcal{M}}])_{k=1}^l$ and $(\#[[j_k]_{\mathcal{N}}])_{k=1}^l$ the size tuples of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are isomorphic (Morita equivalent), then $l_{\mathcal{M}} = l_{\mathcal{N}}$ and then there exists $\sigma \in S_{l_{\mathcal{M}}}$ such that $(\#[[\sigma(i_k)]_{\mathcal{M}}])_{k=1}^l = (\#[[j_k]_{\mathcal{N}}])_{k=1}^l$.

Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$, where $\mathcal{M}_i$ is a II_1 -factor. By this classification, we need only to consider the case: $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \oplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{M}_{i,j}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{i,j_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{i,j_2}$ is

stably isomorphic for any j_1, j_2 , and where $\mathcal{M}_{i_1, j_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{i_2, j_2}$ is not stably isomorphic if $i_1 \neq i_2$. From now, we consider the form of fundamental group of $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$, where $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{i_2}$ is stably isomorphic for any i_1, i_2 . Put $DU_n(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{DU_\sigma : D \text{ is a positive diagonal matrix in } GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \sigma \in S_n\}$. Let G be a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$, n be in $\mathbb{N}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n$ be positive numbers. We denote by $\mathcal{G}(G, \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ the group in $DU_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ which is generated by diagonal matrices whose diagonal elements are in G and by unitaries $U_{(1, j+1)}^{r_j}$ which satisfies $(U_{(1, j+1)}^{r_j})_{1, j+1} = (U_{(1, j+1)}^{r_j})_{j+1, 1}^{-1} = r_j$, $(U_{(1, j+1)}^{r_j})_{l, l} = 1$ if $l \neq 1, j+1$, and the other elements of $U_{(1, j+1)}^{r_j}$ are 0. In fact, $\mathcal{G}(G, \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is isomorphic to $G^n \rtimes S_n$ as group.

Proposition 5.12. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a direct sum of n II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}_i$, where $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{i_2}$ are stably isomorphic for any i_1, i_2 . Put $G = F(\mathcal{M}_1)$. Then there exists $r_j > 0$ such that $F(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{G}(G, \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n)$.

Proof. Since all the components of the sum are stably isomorphic, $G = G_i$ and $S_{ij} \neq 0$ for any i, j by the definition of G_i, S_{ij} in 5.1. Then, for $j \geq 1$, there exists $\lambda_j > 0$ such that $S_{1j} = \lambda_j G$. Since S_n is generated by $\{(1 \ j) : j = 2, \dots, n\}$, $F(\mathcal{M})$ is generated by $U_{(1, j+1)}^{\lambda_j}$ and by diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are in G . $\square$

Proposition 5.13. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a direct sum of II_1 -factors. By permutating some components of the direct sum, there exist some subgroups G_j and H_k in $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ and some positive real number $r_{i,j}$ such that

$$F(\mathcal{M}) = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & G_l & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_1, \{r_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{n_1}) & O & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ O & \cdots & \cdots & O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_m, \{r_{i,m}\}_{i=1}^{n_m}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, the part of G_j or that of $\mathcal{G}(H_1, \{r_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{n_1})$ might be empty.

Theorem 5.14. Let k be a natural number and let $G_1, \dots, G_l$ and $H_1, \dots, H_m$ be countable subgroups of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$. For any $n_1, \dots, n_m$ and for any $r_{i,j} > 0$ there exists a direct sum of II_1 -factors $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$F(\mathcal{M}) = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & G_l & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_1, \{r_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{n_1}) & O & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ O & \cdots & \cdots & O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_m, \{r_{i,m}\}_{i=1}^{n_m}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $G_1, \dots, G_k$ be countable subgroups of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$. By corollary 5.5 of Popa [12], there exists a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}_i$ such that $F(\mathcal{M}_i) = G_i$ and that $\mathcal{M}_i$ is not stably isomorphic each other. Let $r_{i,j} > 0$ arbitrary. Then there exists a projection $p_{i,j}$ of $M_{m_i,j}(M)$ such that $\text{Tr}_{m_i,j}(M) \otimes \varphi_i(p_{i,j}) = r_{i,j}$, where φ_i is a normalized

trace on $\mathcal{M}_i$. Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^k \oplus_{j=1}^{n_i} p_{i,j} M_{m_{i,j}}(M) p_{i,j}$. Since S_n is generated by $\{(1\ j) : j = 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$F(\mathcal{M}) = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & G_l & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_1, \{r_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{n_1}) & O & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ O & \cdots & \cdots & O & \cdots & O & \mathcal{G}(H_m, \{r_{i,m}\}_{i=1}^{n_m}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

Example 5.15. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a hyperfinite II_1 -factor. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{R}$. Then $F(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_3 \\ r_4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; r_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times \right\}$.

Example 5.16. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a hyperfinite II_1 -factor and let $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ be a group factor of the free group $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R} \oplus L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Then $F(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{bmatrix}; r_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times \right\}$.

Example 5.17. Put $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{i=1}^n L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. Then $F(\mathcal{M}) = \{U_\sigma : \sigma \in S_n\}$. In particular, if $n = 2$, then $F(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

6. RELATION WITH THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF C^* -ALGEBRA

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with finite dimensional trace space. We denote by $T(\mathcal{A})$ the tracial state space of $\mathcal{A}$. We say that $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful if for any positive element a in $\mathcal{A}$, for any φ in $T(\mathcal{A})$, $\varphi(a) = 0$, then $a = 0$. Let π_φ be a GNS representation of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with φ in $T(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ be a corresponding Hilbert space.

For simplicity, we consider the case that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T(\mathcal{A}) = 2$. Following arguments are similar in the case that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T(\mathcal{A}) > 2$.

Say $\partial_e(T(\mathcal{A})) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. We define a representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_2})$ by $\pi(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{\varphi_1}(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{\varphi_2}(a) \end{bmatrix}$. If $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful, then π is isometric. We denote by η_φ the natural linear map from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$. Then $\eta_{\varphi_1}(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\eta_{\varphi_2}(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ are cyclic vectors of the representation π_{φ_1} and π_{φ_2} respectively. Put $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_{\varphi_1}(1_{\mathcal{A}}), \eta_{\varphi_2}(1_{\mathcal{A}}))$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the closure of $\{\pi(a)\xi : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with 2-dimensional trace space $T(\mathcal{A})$. If $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful, then π is isometric.

Proof. We suppose $\pi(a) = 0$. Since $\pi(a)\xi = 0$, $\eta_1(a) = 0$ and $\eta_2(a) = 0$. Therefore $\varphi_1(a^*a) = \varphi_2(a^*a) = 0$. Since $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful $a^*a = 0$. $\square$

Let $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the restriction of π .

Proposition 6.2. Put $\varphi = \frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2}$. Then π_φ and $\hat{\pi}$ is unitary equivalent.

Proof. Since $\varphi(a) = \langle \hat{\pi}(a)\xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, as follows. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra and φ be a non-zero element of $T(\mathcal{A})$. We denote by $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w$ the weak-closure of $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with 2-dimensional trace space. We suppose $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful. Say $\partial_e T(\mathcal{A}) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. Put $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$. Then $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w$ is unitary equivalent to $\overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \oplus \overline{\pi_{\varphi_2}(\mathcal{A})}^w$.

Proof. By [1], π_{φ_1} and π_{φ_2} are quasi-equivalent or disjoint. If π_{φ_1} and π_{φ_2} are quasi-equivalent, then there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : \overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \rightarrow \overline{\pi_{\varphi_2}(\mathcal{A})}^w$ such that $\hat{\pi}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \right\}$. This contradicts to the fact that φ_1 and φ_2 are extremal points of $T(\mathcal{A})$. Then π_{φ_1} and π_{φ_2} are disjoint. Therefore $\overline{\hat{\pi}(\mathcal{A})}^w = \overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \oplus \overline{\pi_{\varphi_2}(\mathcal{A})}^w$. By 6.2, $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w$ is unitary isomorphic to $\overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \oplus \overline{\pi_{\varphi_2}(\mathcal{A})}^w$. $\square$

Proposition 6.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with 2-dimensional trace space. We suppose $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful. Say $\partial_e T(\mathcal{A}) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. Put $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$. If p is a self-similar full projection in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$, then $\pi_\varphi(p)$ in $M_n(\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w)$ is a self-similar full projection.

Proof. Let p be a self-similar full projection of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and let Φ be an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ onto $pM_n(\mathcal{A})p$. Then $(\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Phi = \varphi_1$ and $(\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_2) \circ \Phi = \varphi_2$ or $(\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Phi = \varphi_2$ and $(\text{Tr}_n \otimes \varphi_2) \circ \Phi = \varphi_1$. By 6.3, we consider the weak topology convergence of $M_n(\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w)$ as that of $\overline{\pi_{\varphi_1}(\mathcal{A})}^w \oplus \overline{\pi_{\varphi_2}(\mathcal{A})}^w$. Then $\pi_\varphi(p)$ is a self-similar projection. $\square$

Hence

Proposition 6.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra with 2-dimensional trace space. We suppose $T(\mathcal{A})$ is faithful. Then $F(\mathcal{A}) \subset F(\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})}^w)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras and Non-Commutative Geometry III*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **122**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [2] A. Connes, *A factor of type II₁ with countable fundamental group*, J. Operator Theory **4**, **1**, (1980), 151–153.
- [3] T. Kawahara, *Fundamental group of C^* -algebras with finite dimensional trace space*, (to appear in J. Operator Theory).
- [4] K. Kodaka, *Full projections, equivalence bimodules and automorphisms of stable algebras of unital C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **37** (1997), 357–369.
- [5] K. Kodaka, *Picard groups of irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **56** (1997), 179–188.
- [6] K. Kodaka, *Projections inducing automorphisms of stable UHF-algebras*, Glasg. Math. J. **41** (1999), no. 3, 345–354.
- [7] F. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators IV*, Ann. Math. **44**, (1943), 716–808.
- [8] N. Nawata, *Fundamental group of simple C^* -algebras with unique trace III*, Canad. J. Math. **64** (2012), 573–587.
- [9] N. Nawata, *Picard groups of certain stably projectionless C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), 161–180.
- [10] N. Nawata, Y. Watatani, *Fundamental group of simple C^* -algebras with unique trace*, Adv. Math. **225**, (2010), 307–318.

- [11] N. Nawata, Y. Watatani, *Fundamental group of simple C^* -algebras with unique trace II*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **260**, (2011), 428–435.
- [12] S. Popa, *string rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups*, I, Invent. Math., **165**, (2006), 369–408.
- [13] S. Popa, S. Vaes, *Actions of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ whose II_1 factors and orbit equivalence relations have prescribed fundamental group*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 383–403.
- [14] M. A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), pp. 285–298, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [15] F. Radulescu, *The fundamental group of the von Neumann algebra of a free group with infinitely many generators is $\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, **3**, (1992), 517–532.
- [16] D. Voiculescu, *Circular and semicircular systems and free product factors*, in: Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory, in: Progr. Math., **92**, Birkhauser, Boston, (1990), 45–60.

(Takashi Kawahara) DOCTORAL STUDENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, ITO, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN

E-mail address: `t-kawahara@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`